

## References

- Adams v. United States ex rel. McCann, 317 U.S. 269 (1942).
- Allen, M. J., & Yen, W. M. (2002). *Introduction to measurement theory*. Long Grove, IL: Waveland.
- American Counseling Association. (2005). *ACA code of ethics*. Alexandria, VA: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text rev.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2010). *The principles of medical ethics with annotations especially applicable to psychiatry*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychological Association. (2002). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. *American Psychologist*, 57, 1060-1073.
- American Psychological Association. (2011). Specialty guidelines for forensic psychologists. *American Psychologist*. Retrieved from [http://www.ap-ls.org/aboutpsychlaw/SGFP\\_Final\\_Approved\\_2011.pdf](http://www.ap-ls.org/aboutpsychlaw/SGFP_Final_Approved_2011.pdf)
- American Psychological Association, Committee on Psychological Tests and Assessment. (1996). *Statement on the disclosure of test data*. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/about/policy/disclosure-of-test-data.pdf>
- American Psychological Association, Committee on Psychological Tests and Assessment. (2002). *Statement on the use of secure psychological tests in the education of graduate and undergraduate psychology students*. Retrieved from [http://www.psychtech.co.il/Articles/APAs\\_CPTA\\_Statement\\_on\\_the\\_Use\\_of\\_Secure\\_Psychological\\_Tests.htm](http://www.psychtech.co.il/Articles/APAs_CPTA_Statement_on_the_Use_of_Secure_Psychological_Tests.htm)
- American Psychological Association, Committee on Psychological Tests and Assessment. (2007). *Recent developments affecting the disclosure of test data and materials: Comments regarding the 1996 statement on the disclosure of test data*. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/science/programs/testing/test-disclosure-statement.pdf>
- Anastasi, A. (1988). *Psychological testing* (6th ed.). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Appelbaum, P. S., & Grisso, T. (1988). Assessing patients' capacities to consent to treatment. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 319, 1635-1638. doi:10.1056/NEJM198812223192504
- Bender, S. D. (2008). Malingered traumatic brain injury. In R. Rogers (Ed.), *Clinical assessment of malingering and deception* (3rd ed., pp. 69-86). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Berghuis v. Thompkins, 130 S. Ct. 2250 (2010).
- Berry, D. R., & Schipper, L. J. (2008). Assessment of feigned cognitive impairment using standard neuropsychological tests. In R. Rogers (Ed.), *Clinical assessment of malingering and deception* (3rd ed., pp. 237-252). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Blackwood, H. L. (2012). *Miranda reasoning and competent waiver decisions: Are models of legal decision making applicable?* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of North Texas, Denton, TX.
- Bonnie, R. J. (1992). The competence of criminal defendants: A theoretical reformulation. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 10, 291-316. doi: 10.1002/bls.2370100303
- Borum, R., Otto, R., & Golding, S. (1993). Improving clinical judgment and decision making in forensic evaluation. *Journal of Psychiatry and Law*, 21, 35-76.
- Boone, K. B. (Ed.). (2007). *Assessment of feigned cognitive impairment: A neuropsychological perspective*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Breland, H., Jones, R. J., & Jenkins, L. (1994). *The College Board vocabulary study* (Report No. 94-4). New York, NY: The College Board.
- Butcher, J. N., Graham, J. R., Ben-Porath, Y. S., Tellegen, A., Dahlstrom, W. G., & Kaemmer, B. (2001). *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2: Manual for administration and scoring* (rev. ed.). Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota.
- California v. Prysock, 453 U.S. 355 (1981).
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7, 309-319. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.7.3.309

- Colorado v. Connelly, 479 U.S. 157 (1986).
- Colorado v. Spring, 479 U.S. 564 (1987).
- Commonwealth v. Hilton, 823 N.E.2d 383 (Mass. 2005).
- Connecticut v. Barrett, 479 U.S. 523 (1987).
- Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52, 281-302. doi:10.1037/h0040957
- Dalgleish, L. I. (1994). Discriminant analysis: Statistical inference using the jackknife and bootstrap procedures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116, 495-508. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.116.3.498
- Davis v. United States, 512 U.S. 452 (1994).
- Dickerson v. United States, 530 U.S. 428 (2000).
- Duckworth v. Eagan, 429 U.S. 195 (1989).
- Dusky v. United States, 362 U.S. 402 (1960).
- Eastwood, J., & Snook, B. (2012). The effect of listenability factors on the comprehension of police cautions. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36, 177-183. doi:10.1037/h0093955
- Erard, R. E. (2004). Release of test data under the 2002 ethics code and the HIPAA privacy rule: A raw deal or just a half-baked idea? *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 82, 23-30. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa8201\_4
- Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 (1964).
- Fare v. Michael C., 442 U.S. 707 (1979).
- Florida v. Powell, 130 S. Ct. 1195 (2010).
- Frederick, R. I., Carter, M., & Powel, J. (1995). Adapting symptom validity testing to evaluate suspicious complaints of amnesia in medicolegal evaluations. *Bulletin of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 23, 231-237.
- Garner, B. A. (Ed.). (2009). *Black's law dictionary* (9th ed.). St. Paul, MN: West.
- Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963).
- Godinez v. Moran, 509 U.S. 389 (1993).
- Goldstein, A. M., & Goldstein, N. E. S. (2010). *Evaluating capacity to waive Miranda rights*. New York, NY: Oxford University.
- Goldstein, N. E. S., Zelle, H., & Grisso, T. (2012). *Miranda Rights Comprehension Instruments*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.
- Gove, P. B. (Ed.). (1961). *Webster's third new international dictionary of the English language, unabridged*. Cambridge, MA: Riverside.
- Green, P., & Astner, K. (1995). *Manual for the Oral Word Memory Test*. Durham, NC: CogniSyst.
- Greenfield, D. P., Dougherty, E. J., Jackson, R. M., Podboy, J. W., & Zimmerman, M. L. (2001). Retrospective evaluation of Miranda reading levels and waiver competency. *American Journal of Forensic Psychology*, 19, 75-86.
- Grisso, T. (1981). *Juveniles' waiver of rights: Legal and psychological competence*. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Grisso, T. (1998). *Instruments for assessing understanding and appreciation of Miranda rights*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.
- Grisso, T. (2003). Waiver of rights to silence and legal counsel. In T. Grisso (Ed.), *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed., pp. 149-192). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic.
- Grisso, T., Appelbaum, P. S., Mulvey, E. P., & Fletcher, K. (1995). The MacArthur Treatment Competence Study. II: Measures of abilities related to competence to consent to treatment. *Law and Human Behavior*, 19, 127-148. doi:10.1007/BF01499322
- Gudjonsson, G. H. (1990). The relationship of intellectual skills to suggestibility, compliance and acquiescence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 11, 227-231. doi:10.1016/0191-8869(90)90236-K
- Gudjonsson, G. H. (2003). *The psychology of interrogations and confessions handbook*. London, England: Wiley.
- Haigler, K. C., O'Connor, P., & Campbell, A. (1994). *Literacy behind prison walls: Profiles of the prison population from the National Adult Literacy Survey*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Halbert v. Michigan, 545 U.S. 605 (2005).
- Haley v. Ohio, 332 U.S. 596 (1948).
- Hambleton, R. K. (2001). The next generation of the ITC test translation and adaptation guidelines. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 17, 164-172. doi:10.1027//1015-5759.17.3.164
- Harrison, K. S. (2007). *Totality of the circumstances: Factors affecting competence to waive Miranda rights* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc5169/>
- Helms, J. L. (2003). Analysis of Miranda reading levels across jurisdictions: Implications for evaluating waiver competency. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 3, 25-37. doi:10.1300/J158v03n01\_03

- Hodgkinson, S., & Tilley, N. (2007). Travel-to-crime: Homing in on the victim. *International Review of Victimology*, 14, 281-298. doi: 10.1177/026975800701400301
- in re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1 (1967).
- Iowa v. Tovar*, 541 U.S. 77 (2004).
- J.D.B. v. North Carolina*, 131 S.Ct. 2394 (2011).
- Johnson v. Texas*, 509 U.S. 350 (1993).
- Johnson v. Zerbst*, 304 U.S. 458 (1938).
- Kassin, S. M. (2005). On the psychology of confessions: Does innocence put innocents at risk? *American Psychologist*, 60, 215. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.60.3.215
- Kassin, S. M., Drizin, S. A., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G. H., Leo, R. A., & Redlich, A. D. (2010). Police-induced confessions: Risk factors and recommendations. *Law and Human Behavior*, 34, 3-38. doi:10.1007/s10979-009-9188-6
- Kent v. United States*, 383 U.S. 541 (1966).
- Klinge, V., & Dorsey, J. (1993). Correlates of the Woodcock-Johnson Reading Comprehension and Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test in a forensic psychiatric population. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 49, 593-598. doi: 10.1002/1097-4679(199307)49:4<593::AID-JCLP2270490418>3.0.CO;2-H
- Klingele, C. (2011). Confidentiality and disclosure: What the new ABA Criminal Justice Standards (don't) say about the duties of defense counsel. *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly*, 38, 983-1006.
- Komaki, J. (1981). A behavioral view of paradigm debates: Let the data speak. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 66, 111-112. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.66.1.111
- Larson, K. (2003). Improving the "kangaroo courts:" A proposal for reform in evaluating juveniles' waiver of Miranda. *Villanova Law Review*, 48, 629-668.
- Loftus, E. F. (2003). Make-believe memories. *American Psychologist*, 58, 867-873. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.58.11.867
- Mann-Wrobel, M. C., Carreno, J. T., & Dickinson, D. (2011). Meta-analysis of neuropsychological functioning in euthymic bipolar disorder: An update and investigation of moderator variables. *Bipolar Disorders*, 13, 334-342. doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.2011.00935.x
- McCann, J. T. (1998). A conceptual framework for identifying various types of confessions. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 16, 441-453. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-0798(199823)16:4<441::AID-BSL320>3.0.CO;2-W
- Melton, G. B., Petrila, J., Poythress, N. G., & Slobogin, C. (1987). *Psychological evaluations for the courts*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Melton, G. B., Petrila, J., Poythress, N. G., & Slobogin, C. (2007). *Psychological evaluations for the courts* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary* (11th ed.). (2005). Springfield, MA: Merriam-Webster.
- Miller, H. A. (2001). *M-FAST: Miller Forensic Assessment of Symptoms Test professional manual*. Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Mincey v. Arizona*, 437 U.S. 385 (1978).
- Minton, T. D. (2011). *Jail inmates at midyear 2010: Statistical tables*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics. Retrieved from <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=2375>
- Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).
- Missouri v. Seibert*, 542 U.S. 600 (2004).
- Moran v. Burbine*, 475 U.S. 412 (1986).
- Morey, L. C. (2003). *Essentials of PAI assessment*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Morey, L. C. (2007). *Personality Assessment Inventory professional manual* (2nd ed.). Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Mueller, J., Kiernan, R., & Langston, J. W. (2007). *Cognistat (the Neurobehavioral Cognitive Status Examination) manual*. Fairfax, CA: The Northern California Neurobehavioral Group.
- N.C.G.S. § 7B-2101(a) (2007).
- Nisbett, R. E., Peng, K., Choi, I., & Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: Holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological Review*, 108, 291-310. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.108.2.291
- PAR. (2011). *HIPAA statement*. Retrieved from [www4.parinc.com/supp/forms.aspx](http://www4.parinc.com/supp/forms.aspx)
- Parham v. J. R.*, 442 U.S. 584 (1979).
- Patterson v. Illinois*, 487 U.S. 285 (1988).
- Rhode, D. L. (2006). Legal ethics in an adversary system: The persistent questions. *Hofstra Law Review*, 34, 641-671.
- Rhode Island v. Innis*, 446 U.S. 291 (1980).
- Rice v. Cooper*, 148 F.3d 747 (7th Cir. 1998).
- Robey, A. (1965). Criteria for competency to stand trial: A checklist for psychiatrists. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 122, 616-623.

- Roesch, R., & Golding, S. L. (1980). *Competency to stand trial*. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois.
- Rogers, R. (1986). *Conducting insanity evaluations*. New York, NY: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Rogers, R. (2005). *Miranda Rights Scale (MRS)*. Unpublished measure, University of North Texas, Denton, TX.
- Rogers, R. (2007). An introduction to insanity evaluations. In R. L. Jackson (Ed.), *Learning forensic assessment* (pp. 109-128). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Rogers, R. (Ed.). (2008a). *Clinical assessment of malingering and deception* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Rogers, R. (2008b). A little knowledge is a dangerous thing...Emerging Miranda research and professional roles. *American Psychologist*, 63, 776-787. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.63.8.776
- Rogers, R. (2008c). *Miranda waivers and the validation of Miranda measures: An investigation of Miranda knowledge, beliefs and decisions* (NSF Grant No. 0817689). Retrieved from <http://www.nsf.gov/awardsearch/showAward.do?AwardNumber=0817689>
- Rogers, R. (2010). *Juvenile Miranda Quiz*. Unpublished measure, University of North Texas, Denton, TX.
- Rogers, R. (2011a). Getting it wrong about Miranda rights: False beliefs, impaired reasoning, and professional neglect. *American Psychologist*, 66, 728-736. doi: 10.1037/a0024988
- Rogers, R. (2011b, August). *Know your Miranda rights? Myths, mistakes, and meta-ignorance*. Presented at the annual convention of the American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.
- Rogers, R., & Bender, S. D. (in press). Evaluation of malingering and related response styles. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Comprehensive handbook of psychology: Forensic psychology* (2nd ed., Vol. 11). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Rogers, R., Blackwood, H. L., Fiduccia, C. E., Steadham, J. A., Drogin, E. Y., & Rogstad, J. E. (2012). Juvenile Miranda warnings: Perfunctory rituals or procedural safeguards? *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 39, 229-249. doi: 10.1177/0093854811431934
- Rogers, R., Boals, A., & Drogin, E. Y. (2011). Applying cognitive models of deception to national security investigations: Considerations of psychological research, law, and ethical practice. *Journal of Psychiatry and Law*, 39, 339-364.
- Rogers, R., Correa, A. A., Hazelwood, L. L., Shuman, D. W., Hoersting, R., & Blackwood, H. L. (2009). Spanish translations of Miranda warnings and totality of the circumstances. *Law and Human Behavior*, 33, 61-69. doi:10.1007/s10979-008-9129-9
- Rogers, R., Gillard, N. D., Berry, D. R., & Granacher, R. P. (2011). Effectiveness of the MMPI-2-RF validity scales for feigned mental disorders and cognitive impairment: A known-groups study. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 33, 355-367. doi:10.1007/s10862-011-9222-0
- Rogers, R., Harrison, K. S., Hazelwood, L. L., & Sewell, K. W. (2007). Knowing and intelligent: A study of Miranda warnings in mentally disordered defendants. *Law and Human Behavior*, 31, 401-418. doi:10.1007/s10979-006-9070-8
- Rogers, R., Harrison, K. S., Shuman, D. W., Sewell, K. W., & Hazelwood, L. L. (2007). An analysis of Miranda warnings and waivers: Comprehension and coverage. *Law and Human Behavior*, 31, 177-192. doi:10.1007/s10979-006-9054-8
- Rogers, R., Hazelwood, L. L., Sewell, K. W., Blackwood, H. L., Rogstad, J. E., & Harrison, K. S. (2009). Development and initial validation of the Miranda Vocabulary Scale. *Law and Human Behavior*, 33, 381-392. doi:10.1007/s10979-008-9159-3
- Rogers, R., Hazelwood, L. L., Sewell, K. W., Harrison, K. S., & Shuman, D. W. (2008). The language of Miranda warnings in American jurisdictions: A replication and vocabulary analysis. *Law and Human Behavior*, 32, 124-136. doi:10.1007/s10979-007-9091-y
- Rogers, R., Hazelwood, L. L., Sewell, K. W., Shuman, D. W., & Blackwood, H. L. (2008). The comprehensibility and content of juvenile Miranda warnings. *Psychology, Public Policy, and the Law*, 14, 63-87. doi:10.1037/a0013102
- Rogers, R., Jackson, R. L., Sewell, K. W., & Salekin, K. L. (2005). Detection strategies for malingering: A confirmatory factor analysis of the SIRS. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 32, 511-525. doi: 10.1177/0093854805278412
- Rogers, R., Rogstad, J. E., Gillard, N. D., Drogin, E. Y., Blackwood, H. L., & Shuman, D. W. (2010). "Everyone knows their Miranda rights": Implicit assumptions and countervailing evidence. *Psychology, Public Policy, and the Law*, 16, 300-318. doi:10.1037/a0019316
- Rogers, R., Rogstad, J. E., Steadham, J. A., & Drogin, E. Y. (2011). In plain English: Avoiding recognized problems with Miranda miscomprehension. *Psychology, Public Policy, and the Law*, 17, 264-285. doi:10.1037/a0022508
- Rogers, R., Sewell, K. W., & Fiduccia, C. E. (2011). *Juvenile Miranda warnings: Comprehension and understanding of constitutional safeguards*. Unpublished pilot research, University of North Texas, Denton, TX.

- Rogers, R., Sewell, K. W., & Gillard, N. D. (2010). *Structured Interview of Reported Symptoms professional manual* (2nd ed.). Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Rogers, R., & Shuman, D. W. (2000). The mental state at the time of the offense measure: Its validation and admissibility under *Daubert*. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 28, 23-28.
- Rogers, R., & Shuman, D. W. (2005). Miranda and beyond: Competencies related to police investigations. In R. Rogers & D. W. Shuman (Eds.), *Fundamentals of forensic practice: Mental health and criminal law* (pp. 113-149). New York, NY: Springer.
- Rogers, R., Shuman, D. W., & Drogin, E. Y. (2008). Miranda rights...and wrongs: Myths, methods, and model solutions. *Criminal Justice*, 23, 4-9.
- Rogers, R., Tillbrook, C. E., & Sewell, K. W. (2004). *Evaluation of Competency to Stand Trial-Revised professional manual*. Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005).
- Ryba, N. L., Brodsky, S. L., & Shlosberg, A. (2007). Evaluations of the capacity to waive Miranda rights: A survey of practitioners' use of the Grisso instruments. *Assessment*, 14, 300-309. doi:10.1177/1073191110730284
- Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 412 U.S. 218 (1973).
- Schrirro v. Landrigan, 550 U.S. 465 (2007).
- Shaw, J. A., & Budd, E. C. (1982). Determinants of acquiescence and naysaying of mentally retarded persons. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency*, 87, 108-110.
- Sigelman, C. K., Budd, E. C., Spanhel, C. L., & Schoenrock, C. J. (1981). When in doubt, say yes: Acquiescence in interviews with mentally retarded persons. *Mental Retardation*, 19, 53-58.
- Simon, R. I. (2002). Retrospective assessment of mental states in criminal and civil litigation: A clinical review. In R. I. Simon & D. W. Shuman (Eds.), *Retrospective assessment of mental states in litigation: Predicting the past* (pp. 1-20). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association.
- Smith, G. P. (2008). Brief screening measures for the detection of feigned psychopathology. In R. Rogers (Ed.), *Clinical assessment of malingering and deception* (3rd ed., pp. 323-339). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Smith, G. T. (2005). On construct validity: Issues of method and measurement. *Psychological Assessment*, 17, 396-408. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.17.4.396
- Snook, B., Eastwood, J., & MacDonald, S. (2010). A descriptive analysis of how Canadian police officers administer the right-to-silence and right-to-legal-counsel cautions. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, 52, 545-560. doi:10.3138/cjcc.52.5.545
- Spitzer, R. L., & Endicott, J. (1978a). A diagnostic interview: *Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia*. New York, NY: Biometrics Research.
- Spitzer, R. L., & Endicott, J. (1978b). *Schedule of Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia—Change Version*. New York, NY: Biometrics Research.
- Stahl, S. A. (2003). Vocabulary and readability: How knowing word meanings affects comprehension. *Topics in Language Disorders*, 23, 241-247. doi:10.1097/00011363-200307000-00009
- State v. Benoit, 490 A.2d 295 (N.H. 1985).
- State v. Ortez, 631 S.E.2d 188 (N.C. App. 2006).
- State v. Ramirez, 732 N.E.2d 1065 (Ohio App. 1999).
- State v. Santiago, 556 N.W.2d 687 (Wis. 1996).
- Sweet, J. J., Condit, D. C., & Nelson, N. W. (2008). Feigned amnesia and memory loss. In R. Rogers (Ed.), *Clinical assessment of malingering and deception* (3rd ed., pp. 218-236). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Tellegen, A., & Ben-Porath, Y. S. (2008). *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 Restructured Form (MMPI-2-RF) technical manual*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota.
- Texas Administrative Code §465.22 (c)(4) Retrieved from [http://info.sos.state.tx.us/pls/pub/readtac\\$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p\\_dir=&p\\_rloc=&p\\_tloc=&p\\_ploc=&pg=1&p\\_tac=&ti=22&pt=21&ch=465&rl=22](http://info.sos.state.tx.us/pls/pub/readtac$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p_dir=&p_rloc=&p_tloc=&p_ploc=&pg=1&p_tac=&ti=22&pt=21&ch=465&rl=22)
- Texas Juvenile Justice Department (2012). *Commitment profile for new commitments: Fiscal years 2006-2011*. Retrieved from <http://www.tjjd.texas.gov/research/profile.aspx>
- United States Census Bureau. (2010). *Population 5 years and older who spoke a language other than English at home by language group and English-speaking ability: 2007*. Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/hhes/socdemo/language/data/acs/ACS-12.pdf>
- United States v. Bland, 908 F.2d 471 (9th Cir. 1990).
- United States v. Brugman, 655 F.2d 540 (4th Cir. 1981).
- United States v. Bustillos-Munoz, 235 F.3d 505 (10th Cir. 2000).

- United States v. Caldwell, 964 F.2d 496 (8th Cir. 1992).
- United States v. Colima-Monge, 962 F.Supp. 1323 (D.Or. 1997).
- United States v. Connell, 839 F.2d 1349 (9th Cir. 1989).
- United States v. Frankson, 83 F.3d 79 (4th Cir. 1996).
- United States v. Perez-Lopez, 348 F.3d 839 (9th Cir. 2003).
- United States v. Tillman, 963 F.2d 137 (6th Cir. 1992).
- Vitacco, M. J., Rogers, R., Gabel, J., & Munizza, J. (2007). An evaluation of malingering screens with competency to stand trial patients: A known-groups comparison. *Law and Human Behavior*, 31, 249-260. doi:10.1007/s10979-006-9062-8
- Vrij, A., Mann, S., Fisher, R., Leal, S., Milne, R., & Bull, R. (2008). Increasing cognitive load to facilitate lie detection: The benefit of recalling an event in reverse order. *Law and Human Behavior*, 32, 253-265. doi:10.1007/s10979-007-9103-y
- Wampler, B. E., & Williams, M. P. (1991). Grammatik Windows [Computer software]. San Francisco, CA: Reference Software.
- Wechsler, D. (1997). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale* (3rd ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (1999). *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (2001). *Wechsler Individual Achievement Test* (2nd ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (2008). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale* (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (2009). *Wechsler Memory Scale* (4th ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (2011). *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence* (2nd ed.). San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Weijters, B., Geuens, M., & Schillewaert, N. (2010). The stability of individual response styles. *Psychological Methods*, 15, 96-110. doi:10.1037/a0018721
- Weisselberg, C. (2010). The future of the Miranda ruling. In L. S. Wrightsman & M. L. Pitman (Eds.), *The Miranda ruling: Its past, present, and future* (pp. 155-172). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Widows, M., & Smith, G. P. (2005). *Structured Inventory of Malingered Symptomatology professional manual*. Lutz, FL: PAR.
- Winkler, J. D., Kanouse, D. E., & Ware, J. E. (1982). Controlling for acquiescence response set in scale development. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 67, 555-561. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.67.5.555
- Withrow v. Williams, 507 U.S. 680 (1993).
- Wrightsman, L. S., Greene, E., Nietzel, M. T., & Fortune, W. H. (2002). *Psychology and the legal system* (5th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Wrightsman, L. S., & Pitman, M. L. (2010). *The Miranda ruling: Its past, present and future*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Zeno, S. M., Ivens, S. H., Millard, R. T., & Duvvuri, R. (1995). *The educator's word frequency guide*. Brewster, NY: Touchstone Applied Science Associates.